

CMS Effort Update

January 9, 2004

STATUS

- More Time is needed
 - Demos during holidays
 - Concern over rush to judgment
 - We must define CMS requirements
 - We must build consensus



Re-Focus Our Effort

- Re-establish Initial Concepts
 - Build

- Identify viable options for building new CMS
- Buy
 - Analyze Demos and Survey Results
- Borrow
 - Further iCIS analysis
 - Review of other locally developed systems

BUILD

- Have we examined this option enough?
 - Need Process Standardization
 - Need Requirements to determine viability
 - Resources
 - Time
 - **\$\$\$**



BUY (Demo Update)

- Attendance at Demos
 - Judges- 3
 - Clerks of Court- 7
 - Court Administrators- 10
 - Court Managers- 10
 - Supervisors- 10
 - Field Trainers- 8



ATTENDANCE NUMBERS

- ICIS-35-40
- TYLER LJ- 34
- TYLER GJ- 40
- JSI LJ- 24
- JSI GJ- 29
- ACS LJ- 25
- ACS GJ- 38
- MAXIMUS LJ- 32
- MAXIMUS GJ- 37



SURVEY QUALIFIERS

- At CACC meeting on January 6, 2004
 - Determined that iCIS is not considered "Off the Shelf"
 - Concerns over verbiage in survey questions

SURVEY SAMPLING

45 Surveys Completed

- Some Favor new CMS for LJ Courts
- Some Favor CMS for GJ Courts
- Some Favor Further Analysis of iCIS for GJ
- Some Favor Review of locally developed systems for LJ and GJ
- Some Had Preferences Among the Vendors
- Some Said "Show Me The Money"

SURVEY SAMPLING

CONTINUED

This question is for LJ court attendees only. Based on what you saw at the demos, do you feel that we should initiate a formal procurement process to purchase an off the shelf case management system for statewide use in Limited Jurisdiction courts?

Yes 81% No 19%

Responses from 27 LJ Court Attendees

This question is for GJ court attendees only. Based on what you saw at the demos, do you feel that we should initiate a formal procurement process to purchase an off the shelf case management system for statewide use in General Jurisdiction Courts?

Yes 65% No 35%

Based on responses from 20 GJ Attendees

This question should only be addressed if you attended both LJ and GJ demos, including, iCIS. Based on what you saw at the demos, do you feel that we should initiate a formal procurement process to purchase an off the shelf case management system that can be used in both Limited and General jurisdiction courts?

Yes 50% No 50% Based on responses from 12 attendees

BALLPARK COSTS

Requested pricing options

- Statewide Implementation
- Statewide w/o Maricopa and Pima SC
- Statewide LJ Only



THIS PAGE BLANK

-			

BORROW

- Further review of iCIS
 - Clerks of Court preference at their recent phone conference
 - What about Financials?
 - What about viability for LJ?
- Review other locally developed systems
 - Tempe, Chandler, Phoenix, Mesa

ISSUES

- Funding
- GJ or LJ Courts First
- Pima County Superior Court
- CFS
- Should LJ and GJ have separate systems?

ACTION ITEMS FOR NEXT COT

 Re-convene 3 CMS committees to define CMS requirements

- Next meeting is 1/16/04 (phone conference)
- Demos of locally developed systems
- Set CMS implementation priorities